# **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

# **DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	,	
١	,	

### ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Jose Gonzalo Garcia		se Gonzalo Garcia Case Number: 11-09114M-001				
In acco	ordance v ablished	n the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts (Check one or both, as applicable.)				
$\boxtimes$	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
$\boxtimes$	by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
PART I FINDINGS OF FACT						
	(1)	ne defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local offense that would have been a federa fense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed) that is				
		a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).				
		an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.				
		an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in				
		a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.				
	(2)	ne offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal ate or local offense.				
	(3)	A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.				
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.				
Alternative Findings						
$\boxtimes$	(1)	nere is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense				
		for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 USC 952, 960, and 963 and 21 USC 841(a)(1).				
		] under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)				
	(2)	ne defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination or combination or combinations will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.				
		Alternative Findings				
$\boxtimes$	(1)	nere is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure e appearance of the defendant as required.				
$\boxtimes$	(2)	o condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.				
	(3)	nere is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate prospective witness or juror).				
	(4)					

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).
²Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## 

 $\boxtimes$ 

	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing
	evidence as to danger that:  The defendant was apprehended at the San Luis, AZ, port of entry with approximately 8.3 kilograms of
	methamphetamine found in the vehicle he was driving. He was the driver, sole occupant, and registered owner of
	the vehicle. The defendant has a prior felony conviction. A prior arrest in California was categorized as "gang"
	related. When arrested for fleeing law enforcement methamphetamine and paraphernalia were found in the vehicle
	that the defendant was driving.
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a prior criminal history which includes a prior felony conviction and appears to render him ineligible for "safety valve" provisions
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant has a history of attempting evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of10 incarceration and a maximum of _life imprisonment.
The c	defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
•	
In add	dition:
The	defendant resides in Mexico and resided there for a year. He was not candid when he told pretrial that he resided in
the U	.S. The defendant's parents, girl friend and child also reside in Mexico. His girlfriend and child have no right to remain
in the	United States. The defendant has substantial ties to Mexico. His brother was proposed as a third party custodian but
by vir	tue of the brother's criminal record he is not an appropriate custodian. Pretrial services initially recommended release
but ch	nanged that recommendation when they discovered the defendant's residence in Mexico and the fact that he had not

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

been truthful about his residence. Employment could not be verified.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing." 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

### Case 2:11-cr-01201-DGC Document 13 Filed 06/22/11 Page 3 of 3

#### **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

#### PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: <u>June 22, 2011</u>

JAY R. IRWIN United States Magistrate Judge